





## **COMPETITION INTRODUCTION**

**COACH EVALUATION PACKAGE** 



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	INTRODUCTION	3
2	SUMMARY OF COACHING OUTCOMES AND CRITERIA	5
3	HOW EACH OUTCOME WILL BE EVALUATED	7
4	EVALUATION REGISTRATION FORM	. 10
5	EVALUATION PROCEDURE: PLAN A PRACTICE	. 11
6	EVALUATION PROCEDURE: ANALYZE PERFORMANCE	. 11
7	EVALUATION PROCEDURE: PROVIDE SUPPORT TO THE ATHLETE IN TRAINING	. 14
8	EVALUATION PROCEDURE: DESIGN A BASIC SEASON PLAN	. 16
9	CANDIDATE SELF-ASSESSMENT	. 18
10	APPENDIX 1: COACHING CHECKLIST	. 19

#### RINGETTE CANADA

#### NATIONAL COACHING CERTIFICATION PROGRAM

Context: COMPETITION-INTRODUCTION

#### INTRODUCTION

This package describes the evaluation and certification requirements for the *Competition-Introduction* context in Ringette. It has been developed based on the NCCP Competency Matrix as prepared by the Coaching Association of Canada.

To become a certified coach, the candidate must prove that he/she is capable of performing certain coaching tasks that have been identified as important for the *Competition-Introduction* context. Athletes in this context compete in the following divisions:

U12 B, A (under 12 years old) U14 B, A (under 14 years old) U16 B, A (under 16 years old) U19 B, A (under 19 years old)

- Candidates who have completed the Ringette Canada Competition-Introduction workshop, have received training that supports the evaluation process.
- Candidates who have considerable experience in the sport of ringette, may choose to move directly to the evaluation process, without completing the workshop.
- It is the responsibility of the candidate to schedule evaluation when he/she feels ready. All participants in the Competition Introduction workshop will receive a copy of the Evaluation Package. Candidates who do not complete the workshop may obtain a copy of the package through their Provincial Sport Organization (PSO) (ex: Ringette Alberta).
- There are several steps in the evaluation and certification process. Each step is linked directly to a coaching outcome, or description of a task that the coach must be able to perform. Each outcome includes several evaluation criteria, and these must be fulfilled to a minimum standard to meet certification requirements. Candidate performance will be evaluated on- and off-ice by accredited Ringette Canada evaluators. All outcomes must be successfully completed before certification is granted.

#### **Timing of Evaluation**

- The candidate and the evaluator will work together to determine when each component will be evaluated.
- Evaluation is not to take place during or immediately following a Competition-Introduction (CI) workshop
- It is recommended that candidates allow approximately four (4) weeks between completion of the workshop and evaluation (exception is Making Ethical Decisions module see below). During this time, it is expected that the candidate will be actively coaching a team.
- Candidates may complete the requirements of all evaluation components on the same day, or may wait a week or more between evaluation components.
- Evaluation of *Making Ethical Decisions* will be done online, using a Multisport format, and may be done at any time following completion of the training.

#### Role of the Evaluator

The evaluation process has been designed to be a positive learning experience, and some feedback is required. The evaluation tools are designed to allow for the provision of objective feedback. Each tool has room for the evaluator to record subjective comments. The evaluator may use this opportunity to provide praise on tasks particularly well done, thus reinforcing positive coaching behaviours. He/she may also use the opportunity to explain why he/she did not believe that some coaching behaviours were adequately demonstrated. In the case of the latter, the evaluator's comments are to be constructive and provide the candidate with a clear direction for improvement (i.e. more practice, talking to more experienced coaches, reviewing the course materials, etc.). It is essential that every candidate walk away from the process feeling that they have learned something regardless of the outcome.

#### **Certification Requirements**

To achieve certification a candidate must meet the minimum standards for each outcome as determined by the evaluator. If a candidate does not adequately meet the minimum standards of a particular outcome, he or she must undergo another evaluation for that outcome. All reevaluations are up to the discretion of the evaluator. There should be a minimum of one week between the initial evaluation and a re-evaluation. When the candidate has met all the minimum standards and is accredited with all four outcomes, he/she will be certified as a Competition-Introduction I coach.

#### Automatic Score of 0, meaning "Not Ready - Needs to be Re-evaluated in this outcome"

The demonstration of one or more of the following behaviours during an evaluation will result in an automatic score of 0 of the specific outcome being evaluated. This in turn means the coach is not ready and needs to be re-evaluated in this outcome.

- 1. SAFETY -- Endangering the health of an athlete or any other person regardless of whether the behaviour was accidental or intentional.
- 2. HARASSMENT AND/OR ABUSE -- Demonstrating harassing or abusive behaviour towards an athlete or any other person. Harassing behaviour may consist of repeatedly humiliating or intimidating someone, making racist comments, threatening someone with physical harm, making cruel personal jokes or teasing someone about their body or sexual orientation. Abusive behaviour may consist of name calling, swearing, threatening, ridiculing, intimidating, isolating, hazing, ignoring a person's needs, slapping, hitting, shaking, kicking, pulling hair, pulling ears, striking, shoving, grabbing or excessive exercise as a form of punishment
- 3. DISREGARD FOR FAIRPLAY Violating Ringette Canada rules and/or the Coaching Association of Canada Code of Ethics/Conduct.
- 4. LOSS OF CONTROL OF ATHLETE GROUP Athletes clearly not responding to directions of coach by wandering off, and/or not following instructions, and/or displaying unsafe, abusive and/or harassing behaviour.
- 5. DEMONSTRATION OF DISRESPECT FOR ATHLETES, PEERS, VOLUNTEERS & EQUIPMENT Violation of the Coaching Association of Canada Code of Ethics/Conduct.

The next pages provide an overview of the coaching outcomes and main performance criteria for the *Competition-Introduction* context.

#### SUMMARY OF COACHING OUTCOMES AND CRITERIA

### THE COMPETITION-INTRODUCTION COACH WILL:

#### 1. ANALYZE PERFORMANCE

Use appropriate reference models to identify aspects of performance that the athletes need to improve.

#### 2. PLAN A PRACTICE

Plan/design a practice plan that is purposeful, organized and appropriate for the age group, and that reflects safety considerations.

- 3. PROVIDE SUPPORT TO THE ATHLETE IN TRAINING Implement the above plan during an on-ice session that is enjoyable, safe and structured, consistent with the NCCP philosophy and values.
- 4. DESIGN A BASIC SEASON PLAN
  Consistent with the guidelines of Ringette Canada's Athlete

Development Model for the age group coached, design a sport program aimed at improving performance.

5. MAKE ETHICAL DECISIONS

Complete the Competition Introduction MED online evaluation.

#### 1. ANALYZE PERFORMANCE

Use appropriate reference models to identify aspects of performance that the athletes need to improve.

- Identify the basic skills required for performance in this context.
- Observe the skill ability of the athlete and/or team vs. basic skills and tactics needed for optimal performance in community, regional or provincial competitions.
- Identify appropriate corrective measures.
- Communicate the result of the analysis to the athlete.

#### 2. PLAN A PRACTICE

Plan/design a practice plan that is purposeful, organized and appropriate for the age group, and that reflects safety considerations.

- Identify suitable objective(s) for the practice.
- Set appropriate structure for the practice.
- Create a practice plan that features sequential technical skill development
- Include an Emergency Action Plan (EAP) for the practice site.
- Match activities to practice objectives.

#### 3. PROVIDE SUPPORT TO THE ATHLETE IN TRAINING

Implement the above plan during an on-ice session that is enjoyable, safe and structured, consistent with the NCCP philosophy and values.

- Demonstrate and enforce common safety protocol and adhere to safety regulations.
- Teach basic skill progressions consistent with the Performance Analysis checklist.
- Assess/correct basic skills.
- Apply appropriate drills that teach and refine selected basic skills.
- Modify drills to accommodate the needs of the athletes.
- Communicate with parents, athletes, and peers in a positive, constructive, effective and informative manner.
- Demonstrate appropriate teaching principles.
- Provide equal attention to each child in the group.

#### 4. DESIGN A BASIC SEASON PLAN

Consistent with the guidelines of Ringette Canada's Athlete Development Model for the Petite and Tween age groups, design a sport program aimed at improving performance.

- Outline season plan structure based on available training and competition opportunities
- Identify season plan measures to promote athlete development
- Develop a practice plan that integrates seasonal training priorities

#### 5. MAKE ETHICAL DECISIONS

Using the NCCP ethical decision-making framework, reflect on a simple ethical dilemma commonly encountered at the club level, identify what is at stake and indicate how s/he would deal with the situation.

## HOW EACH OUTCOME WILL BE EVALUATED

OUTCOME	METHOD OF EVALUATION	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA
Analyze Performance	<ul> <li>Formal observation during on-ice evaluation</li> <li>Analysis of scenarios during on-ice evaluation. (Coach will select one skill scenario from the list provided on page 10; must include corrective drills in practice plan and during on-ice evaluation)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identify the basic skill abilities and technical elements</li> <li>Observe performance and perform a skill analysis using Ringette Canada's skill checklist (detect performance)</li> <li>Apply Ringette Canada's suggested drill list for skill correction</li> <li>Provide appropriate feedback to participant</li> </ul>
PLAN A PRACTICE	<ul> <li>Written submission to be evaluated</li> <li>Practice plan may be evaluated separately, prior to on-ice evaluation date, or may be evaluated at the time of the on-ice evaluation. If a different practice plan is used during the on-ice evaluation, the evaluator must also review this plan with the candidate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adapt pre-designed activities and/or design an activity appropriate for the age group and skill/performance level</li> <li>Design a practice plan that includes the following: <ul> <li>identification of objectives</li> <li>appropriate structure and organization</li> <li>identification of risk factors</li> <li>appropriate use of time and space</li> <li>appropriate selection and sequencing of activities</li> <li>identification of key performance factors</li> <li>emergency action plan (EAP)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
PROVIDE SUPPORT TO THE ATHLETE IN TRAINING	Formal on-ice evaluation	Deliver a practice session that is adapted for the given athlete group and is age/skill appropriate including the following elements:     demonstrate common safety protocol including equipment adjustment check     teach basic skill progression consistent with performance analysis checklist     demonstrate and effectively apply appropriate teaching principles     demonstrate proper practice structure and organization     include an appropriate fitness training component

OUTCOME	METHOD OF EVALUATION	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA
MAKING ETHICAL DECISIONS	On-line, NCCP evaluation     Is not included in this package	<ul> <li>Reflect on the importance of behaving respectfully toward participants, officials, parents and spectators</li> <li>Identify key issue in a common scenario that could be encountered in the Competition-Introduction 1 context</li> <li>Describe a course of action for dealing with this situation that is consistent with the values and philosophy of the NCCP.</li> </ul>
DESIGN A BASIC SEASON PLAN	Written submission to be evaluated by submitting a Season Plan Workbook; along with a Practice Plan that demonstrates the coach is using the information in the Season Plan for time of season of the evaluation.  The evaluated workbook will be returned to the coach after the evaluation is complete.	<ul> <li>Outline program structure based on available training and competition opportunities <ul> <li>identify competition schedule and number of competitions</li> <li>identify length of each period of the program (preparation, competition, transition)</li> <li>identify number, duration and frequency of training sessions in each period of the program</li> </ul> </li> <li>Identify program measures to promote athlete development <ul> <li>identify and analyze training to competition ratios in the program</li> <li>identify strategies to address aspects of the program that are inconsistent with the Ringette Canada Long Term Athlete Development (LTAD) model</li> </ul> </li> <li>Develop a practice plan that integrates seasonal training priorities <ul> <li>identify athletic ability and training objectives at a specific point of the season</li> <li>demonstrate effective use of available training time during this week of the season</li> <li>design a practice plan that is consistent with the analysis described above.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

#### Outcome: Plan a Practice

The candidate must submit a written practice plan, including an emergency action plan (EAP), for evaluation.

The plan may be submitted in one of two ways:

- 1. As a stand-alone component of evaluation, where the candidate will only be evaluated on the plan that is submitted, OR;
- 2. As the first portion of the on-ice evaluation session. The candidate will then be required to teach the practice that has been submitted for evaluation.

For coaches with limited experience in practice plan design, option 1 provides an opportunity for evaluation of the lesson plan well before being evaluated on its implementation on-ice. This allows the candidate to make adjustments to the plan, based on the feedback from the evaluator.

A written practice plan must still be submitted 2 weeks prior to the on-ice evaluation, regardless of whether the candidate has already been evaluated on the practice plan. The plan must correspond to the practice that is being taught, and must include an EAP.

Practice plans will be according to the NCCP Minimum Standard, as accepted by the National Coaching Certification Council.

The evaluator's comments will be recorded on a summary sheet. The evaluator's comments should indicate where weaknesses occur (i.e. not meeting the pass standard) and provide constructive suggestions for improving the plan. The evaluator should also provide contact information in case the candidate needs a resource person for assistance.

#### **EVALUATION PROCEDURE**



Programme national de certification des entraîneurs

#### **OUTCOME: ANALYZE PERFORMANCE**

The candidate will select one scenario from the list below to analyse during the on-ice evaluation. The candidate will provide corrective drills for this scenario during the on-ice evaluation.

- READ your scenario
- **IDENTIFY** the problem
- DETERMINE the skills needed
- CREATE the drills necessary to correct the problem
- INCLUDE full description in your practice plan and in on-ice time

The evaluator's comments will be recorded on the Performance Analysis evaluation form on page 112, and on the summary sheet on page 16. The evaluator's comments should indicate where weaknesses occur (i.e. not meeting the pass standard) and provide constructive suggestions for improving the plan. The evaluator should also provide contact information in case the candidate needs a resource person for assistance.

#### **SKATING SKILLS SCENARIOS**

- 1. Your team has 4 weak skaters who only stop one way. They stop and lose sight of their opponent.
- 2. Your team has 4 weak skaters who do not stop well. They do a big not so tight turn and lose opportunities to gain the ring.
- 3. Your team has 4 weak skaters who cannot skate backwards and avoid it at all costs
- 4. Your goalkeeper never challenges the break-away ring carrier and gets caught too far back in the goal.
- 5. Your forwards do not break away from a person to person check quickly. They lose speed when they change directions.
- 6. Your centers do not make fast transitions from offence to defense and vice versa. They do not accelerate quickly.
- 7. Your defense is getting caught at the free play line. They are facing the wrong direction and are not changing directions quickly.

#### **RING SKILLS SCENARIOS**

- 1. Your team gets many penalties when they stick check their opponent's stick.
- 2. Your forwards do not get the advantage when there is a cross crease pass. They miss the pass.
- 3. Your team has 4 weak players who cannot do a wrist shot.
- 4. When some of your offensive players set a pick, they get interference penalties.
- 5. Your defensive players get beaten in a one on one situation.
- After a shot, often the goalkeeper cannot pick up the ring and distribute it in 5 seconds.
- 7. When your team loses possession in their offensive zone, they do not regain possession of the ring until it is back in their own defensive zone.





You may use one your own team's deficiencies if not listed above. If you do so, it must be clearly stated in your practice plan, and the corrective action well explained and diagramed.

### **NCCP** Competency for Ringette

**Competition – Introduction** 

Coac	h Na	ıme													CC #	CC										
		S	urnam	ie							First				#											
Plan	A P	ractic			ıdin	g P	erf	orm	anc	e Ar	nal	ysis	and (	Cor	rectio	n										
	Mark									evem							Co	mn	nen	ts				Sco	ring	
																							0			2
4)		Practice pl	an id	entifie	s a go	al or a	serie	s of ke	y elem	nents tha	at wil	l be add	ressed in	1									Ť	+-		-
ure		the practio	:e																				7	⊳	JS	Ψ
Ct		Practice pl athletes, le				intor	matio	n inclu	de, dat	te, time,	, loca	tion, nu	nber of										Not There.	ССЕ	specific	vide
trı		Practice p			s basic	logist	tical ne	eeds (i.	.e. facil	lities and	d equ	ipment)	to matc	h									The	ptal	fic I	eon
S S		the overal Practice pl			early i	dentifie	ed goa	al that	is cons	sistent w	vith N	NCCP gr	owth an	ıd									ře.	ble	orol	is
S S		developme	ent p	rincipl	es		-					_											Z	evic	problem	sdo
stic		Practice pl main part,							tnat in	iciude a	n intr	oductio	n, warm	-up,									) ev	lenc	ns.	Evidence is observed
Logistics & Structure		Duration of	of the	prac	ice an	d each	n pract	tice seg	gment	are ider	ntified	on a ti	meline.										ide	e is		о ре
Ľ		Plan includ	es a	list of	key fa	ctors	or tea	ching p	ooints	that rela	ate to	the ov	erall goa										No evidence is observed	Acceptable evidence is observed.		ons
		Designate																					is o	ser		iste
> ⊑		numbers for staff and to				and er	merge	ncy co	ntact t	elepnor	ne nu	mbers to	or bench										bse	/ed.		ntly
enc Pla		Location o	of a fu	Ily sto	cked	first ai	d kit a	and cor	ncussic	on proto	ocol s	upport	documer	nts									rve	O		thi
rge on		Identify who		and w	ho wil	l care	for th	e med	dical pr	ofiles fo	or eac	h athlet	e under	the									٠.	oacl		no.
Emergency Action Plan		Specific di		ons to	reach	the va	arious	activit	y sites	regular	ly, wł	nich may	include	a										Si C		φοι
ĒΑ		map or a l									a visi	itad ha i	he team	at										able		ıt th
		tournamer	nts ar	nd pro	vincial	cham	pionsł	hips																Ö		н р
		Practice ad	tiviti	es are	effect	ively d	descrit	oed (i.e	e. diagr	ams, ex	plana	tions, k	ey points	s)										8		rac
S		Planned ac				ed eno	ough ti	ime to	develo	op the sl	kills a	nd or ta	ictics											rec		consistently throughout the practice.
tie		Planned ac				e to th	ne dev	elopm	ent of	skill (s)	and a	re appr	opriate t	:0										tly s		
tivi		the stage o												.1										ele	.	oac
Ac		The practi practice as			icates	кеу та	ctors	(coach	ing poi	ints) tria	it Will	be idei	unea in	uie										ct ai		h di
Appropriate Activities		Planned ac																						าd a		spla
ria		New skills							_															PPI)		ys a
rop		The durati												`D										dr		bilit
ρp		growth an						JI actice	e segiii	ient are	COIIS	iscent w	iui ivee	,1										lls f		y to
⋖		Planned ac	tiviti	es ref	ect aw	/arene	ess of,	and co	ntrol f	or pote	ntial	risk fact	ors											rom		cre
		Activities	are p	urpos	eful an	d link	to ove	erall pr	ractice	goal.														P.		ate
,		Coach has				ario fo	or Perf	forman	nce An	alysis (e	either	origina	l or fron	1										Coach is able to correctly select and apply drills from Ringette C		Coach displays ability to create and mod
blem lem		The scena	rio is	fully	levelo	ped in	the p	ractice	plan																	ibor
Scenario Identification of the Problen Correction of the Problem		Coach ide				•																		anada resources		lify d
rio the he F		Coach ide										rio												re		drills
ena n of		Coach ide			• •					e proble	em													sou		ō
Sc atio tion		Coach ide																						rces		add
rrec		Coach inc																						,		address
Ider		Coach probeen selec		feed	oack a	nd inst	tructio	on that	are ap	propria	ite to	the dri	ls that h	ave												•
		TOT	٩L	РО	NT	S																				
		ndation						Cer	tifica	tion					Resul	omit w	ith s	ugge	stec	limp	rove	ments				
Evalu	ıator																									
Signed															Date											
Evalu	ıator	•	L	Surnam											Firet											
				viirnan	P										- Firet											

#### **EVALUATION PROCEDURE**

## OUTCOMES: PROVIDE SUPPORT TO THE ATHLETE IN TRAINING and PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

In this component of evaluation, the candidate will coach a technical ringette practice that s/he has designed. The focus of this evaluation is on delivering the practice and error detection and correction (performance analysis). There are three sections to the on-ice evaluation; a before-practice meeting, the on-ice evaluation (practice) and a post-practice review time. The entire evaluation will require a minimum of two hours.

#### Process:

- 1. Candidate must submit a copy of his/her Practice Plan and Emergency Action Plan (EAP) to the evaluator 2 weeks prior to the on-ice assessment.
- 2. Candidate must complete the self-assessment portion of the **Coaching Behaviours Profile**, contained in this package. Upon completion of this profile, the candidate must submit the self-assessment portion to the evaluator prior to the on-ice assessment.
- 3. Candidate must coach a technical ringette practice designed by the candidate.
- 4. Candidate will meet with the evaluator for approximately 20 minutes prior to the beginning of practice. The candidate should have completed any preparations for the practice prior to this meeting (i.e. submitted practice plan and EAP).
- 5. The athlete group must:
  - be a group that the candidate works with on a regular basis OR a group of athletes in one of the following divisions: U12,14,16,19 or Open B, A or AA, must have at least ten (10) participants and no more than eighteen (18) participants.
- 6. The practice must:
  - be a minimum of 50 minutes;
  - be run on half-ice or full ice.
- 7. The candidate will meet with the evaluator for approximately 40 minutes after the practice has concluded to review the completed Coaching Checklist and Behaviour Profile.

#### **Before-Practice meeting:**

- The evaluator will review the overall procedure in conducting an On-Ice Assessment.
- The evaluator will review and discuss the candidate's practice plan and EAP. It is possible that the candidate's practice plan and EAP will be evaluated at this time.
- The evaluator will ask the candidate for a completed Coaching Behaviours Profile (self assessment) at the beginning of the evaluation session. Evaluator will review and discuss the profile with the candidate.

#### On-Ice Assessment:

- The evaluator will ask the candidate to begin the practice.
- Candidate will run the practice, using the practice plan that has been provided to the evaluator.
- Evaluator will position him/herself to be able to hear and see the practice, either on the ice or in the stands/benches. Evaluator will record comments on the *Coach Observation Tool*, using the rubric as reference.
- Evaluator should refrain from commenting during the practice, unless there is an obvious safety hazard or breach of coaching ethics occurring.

#### **Post-Practice Review:**

- Evaluator will complete the Coach Observation Tool, and complete the Coaching Behaviours Profile form
- Evaluator will review the *Coaching Behaviours Profile* and *Coach Observation Tool* forms with the candidate. Emphasis should be placed on areas where there is a discrepancy between the evaluator's mark and the candidate's self-assessment, and on how the candidate can improve coaching performance. The evaluator should also highlight the skills performed well by the candidate. The candidate should receive a copy of both forms.
- Candidate may ask questions about his/her assessment of their performance. If the candidate was not successful in demonstrating competence for the on-ice section, the evaluator will outline some basic strategies as to how he/she can improve for next time.
- Every candidate should finish this evaluation feeling that it was a positive learning experience, regardless of the outcome.

Candidate performance will be evaluated on the following scale:

- 0 = No evidence is observed
- 1 = Meets Expectations
- 2 = Exceeds Expectations

The NCCP Pass Standard is a mark of 1. Any score of 0 means the coach is "not ready" and that re-evaluation is required.

The evaluator's comments will be recorded on the *Coach Observation Tool* form. A rubric is provided to assist the evaluator in determining if the candidate meets the NCCP Pass Standard. The evaluator's comments should indicate where weaknesses occur (i.e. not meeting the minimum standard) and provide constructive suggestions for improvement. The evaluator should also provide contact information in case the candidate needs a resource person for assistance.

# NCCP Competency for Ringette – Competition Introduction Programme national de certification des entraîneurs



Coa					CC number:	С	С							
Name Provid		Surname		First					1		_			
		Support to	<b>Ath</b>	<b>letes in Training</b> (On	-ice Evalua	tion	)							
Mark √ <b>/X</b>		Evidenc	e of A	chievement	Commer	its								
Safety		there are minimal s Coach can identify safety of athletes. in the debrief if a d during the practice Coach is able to pr contains <b>most</b> of t provide immediate Coach performs ed	adjustme Have coa angerous Tesent an the critica care in c	ents to the practice to ensure ach reflect on a specific scenario s situation did not present itself emergency action plan that al elements and is suitable to case of an emergency	-									
		Coach is appropria		sed and equipment is available										
		and ready to use.  Coach demonstrat	es optim	al use of space and equipment.										
Organization		appropriate warm- An appropriate fitn	up, main ess train	gments, which includes an segments and cool down. ing component is included, or an ot appropriate for this practice.										
gar				es practice plan's goal(s).	1									
				propriate recovery and hydration.										
Structure &		Activity time is manual least 50% of the The practice has spriorities identified Activities contribut tactics and are con Development Proglimplements an all and organized programs.	-											
		Coach uses explanation	•								 			
Coach Intervention		(feedback / instruction Coach uses demonstr position to see and he Feedback is positive, tand the group. Coach makes interver practice skill or tactic Coach is enthusiastic Coach maintains a po and thoughts. Coach uses respectfu Coach provides feedb	n) with attrations, an ear. specific an entions so the analysis of the attraction of	ectives and or performance factors nletes prior to engaging in the activity. d participants are in an optimal d is communicated to both individuals that participants have adequate time to not with all participants ook and acknowledges athletes' needs when providing verbal interventions astruction that clearly identifies what to										
Coa		improve and how to in Coach selectively use reinforce athletes' effi- Coach promotes a poi image to athletes and Coach identifies appri	-											
	_	reinforces these expe	ctations w		-									
		tification	, is that	Re-observe						 		 		
Signed				,										
	luator	•												
- va	uatur	Surname												

#### **EVALUATION PROCEDURE**

#### **OUTCOME: DESIGN A BASIC SEASON PLAN**

The candidate must submit a written seasonal plan, a copy of the coach's Season Plan Workbook, as well as a practice plan corresponding to a specific training sessions at the beginning, middle or end of season. Plans will be submitted directly to the Evaluator. The coach's Season Plan Workbook will be returned to the coach after the evaluation is complete.

Season and Practice plans will be evaluated according to the NCCP Minimum Standard, as accepted by the National Coaching Certification Council.

The evaluator's comments will be recorded on a summary sheet. The evaluator's comments should indicate where weaknesses occur (i.e. not meeting the pass standard) and provide constructive suggestions for improving the plan. The evaluator should also provide contact information in case the candidate needs a resource person for assistance.

## NCCP Competency for Ringette Competition-Introduction



							chiam	2013			1 1061	um
Coach					CC number:	C						
Name	Surnan	ne	First			1						
Design	n a Bo	asic Season Plan			1							
/	Mark	Evidence of Achievement		Co	mments				Scori	ng		
Program Structure	PP di PP sse PP ci di Li o O Sse (F	lan identifies basic athlete information (agifferences in growth and development. lan indicates the length of the season, pranain competitions. lan identifies entry point for the majority eason plan. lan correctly identifies training objectives ritical times of the season based on progreveloped by ringette. length of the season is correctly calculate ther logistics. leasonal plan is correctly divided into thre preparation, competition, transition). Outline of the program structure means in the dividence of the program structure means in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into thre program structure means in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into thre program structure means in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into thre program structure means in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into thre program structure means in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into three program structure means in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into three programs are seasonal plan in the seasonal plan in the seasonal plan is correctly divided into the seasonal plan in the seasonal plan	of athletes in the and priorities a ram template d given breaks a ree main periods	ne it	mments					O Little or	<ul> <li>Good evidence. Plan has sufficient c</li> </ul>	Exceptional evidence. Plan has exce enhancing the program.
Identification of Program Measures	PP au tr R R o o o Si in PP d d	of the athletes training and competite pportunities (MS or ES)  Ian identifies correctly calculated competitatio  Competition ratio is consistent with NCC Ian correctly prioritizes key training element promote athlete developmental potentianing and competition  actionale is provided for prioritizing training refer to maximize developmental potential fithe plan  trategies are identified to overcome potential program compared to Sport LTAD plane program identifies and meets the new levelopment that follows NCCP guides)	ition to training IP guidelines IP guidelines Itial in relation to Ing elements in Ing element	ze						No Evidence Plan has limited detail and insufficient accuracy to meet overall criteria	Good evidence. Plan has sufficient detail and accuracy to meet overall criteria. A different coach could im	Exceptional evidence. Plan has excellent detail and accuracy to meet overall criteria. Plan would assist a enhancing the program.
Season Plan	(i el Ir tr se R th ti	eason plan identifies athletic abilities and nitiation, acquisition, consolidation and remphasized during the different phases of intensity levels are indicated for Physical a raining components and are relevant to the eason actionale is provided to justify optimizing incre are inconsistencies with the amount me.  The plan prioritizes athletic abilities and transt are consistent with this phase of the season plan meets the needs of the development by integrating all contiled in the course (MS)	efinement) to be the season. Indicate the time of the training time if of actual practive seasonal plan. The athletes omponents	ce						riteria.	ent coach could implement the program.	an would assist a different coach in
Evaluate	or											
Signed				Date								
Evaluate	or											

First

Surname

# NCCP OBSERVATION FOR RINGETTE OUTCOMES & RECOMMENDATIONS COMPETITION – INTRODUCTION





Date									Chire	ineurs		,	rogram
Name							CC number	er:	С	С			
	Surname		First										
Address	Apt.	Street											
Addiess													
DI.	City )		Provir (	nce	)			Posta (	al Code	)			
Phone	Home		Busine	ess	,			Fax		,			
Email											1		
		R	lecomme	ndati	on						M	S	ES
Design a	Basic Sea	son Plan											
Achieved Ce	rtification												
COMMENT	S:											<u> </u>	
		R	lecomme	ndati	on						M:	2	ES
_			ecomme	IIUati	OH						IMI	•	LJ
Analyze I Achieved Cer	<b>Performan</b> tification	ice											
COMMENT	S:												
				1								6	EG
		K	ecomme	ndati	on						M	•	ES
<b>Plan a Pr</b> Achieved Cer													
COMMENT	S:												
			ecomme								M	S	ES
<b>Provide S</b> Achieved Cer		Athletes in	Training	(On	-Ice Ev	valu	ation)						
COMMENT	S:											I	
Evaluator In	formation												
Evaluator													
	Surname					First							
Address	Apt.	Street											
7 1441 555													
DI.	City (		Provin (	nce )				Posta (	l Code				
Phone	Home		Busine					Fax					
Email													
Signed			Date										

### **Candidate Self-Assessment**

#### **COACHING BEHAVIOURS PROFILE**

Before the on-ice assessment, the candidate will complete this self-assessment form and submit it to the evaluator. The candidate and evaluator will review and discuss the candidate's responses before beginning the on-ice time. After the on-ice time, the evaluator will compare the candidate's self-assessment with the actual evaluation and discuss the results with the candidate.

Before the candidate meets with the evaluator, he/she should circle the appropriate number for each statement below.

KEY:

- 1 This is definitely a weak area for me.
- 2 I'm somewhat comfortable but could use some help
- 3 I do this very well.

	Coaching Task		ndid sses	ate ssment	candidate's comments
•	I ensure a safe practice area	1	2	3	
•	I run a structured and organized practice	1	2	3	
-	I make effective coaching interventions	1	2	3	
•	I demonstrate appropriate coaching behaviours	1	2	3	
•	I manage the training environment (includes awareness, creation of functional learning environment and adapting to "unforeseen" circumstances such as equipment breakage, etc.)	1	2	3	
-	I detect performance errors effectively	1	2	3	
•	I apply proper correction of performance errors	1	2	3	
•	I show enthusiasm for and while coaching	1	2	3	
•	I demonstrate good rapport with athletes	1	2	3	

#### **Evaluator's Comments:**

(use the back of this form if more room is required)

## **APPENDIX 1**

## **Coaching Checklist**

This checklist can be used as a quick reminder-reference for the candidate or the evaluator. Note that it does not replace the evaluation form.

Does the Candidate	√/x
Locate Medical Files and Contact #'s for athletes?	
Clearly identify all existing and potential hazards in the training environment?	
Check athletes' equipment?	
Include an appropriate warm-up for age and ability of participants?	
Include an appropriate fitness training component & basic skill development for age and ability of participants?	
Correctly use the key elements of technique to analyze athletes?	
Identify the critical technical element that needs improving?	
Correct the identified basic technical element that needs improving using appropriate drills and corrective measures to instruct athletes?	

Does the Candidate	√lx
Articulate skill correction or problem solving steps in an easily understood manner?	
Check for clear understanding from athletes?	
Include an appropriate cool-down component for age and ability of participants?	
Assess whether the athletes enjoyed themselves during the session?	
Provide equal attention to each athlete in the group?	
Use appropriate feedback on performance of athlete(s) that is positive, specific and timely?	
Use concise, clear and constructive communication?	
Communicate next practice location and time to participants?	

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:			